

Use of SAS - March, 2010

7. Categorical data

Karl Bang Christensen* and Esben Budtz-Jørgensen

Department of Biostatistics, University of Copenhagen.

*kach@biostat.ku.dk, tel: 35327491

Analysis of categorical data

Tables (frequency tables)

Rate ratios

Odds ratios

Logistic regression

Table

Exposure	Outcome		Total
	Yes	No	
Yes	a	b	n_1
No	c	d	n_2
Total	$a + c$	$b + d$	n

Hypothesis H_0 : the probability of having the outcome is the same in the two exposure groups.

The Guinea-Bissau data set

Available on the T-drive we have a SAS data set called `bissau.sas7bdat`. Data comes from rural Guinea-Bissau, West-Africa: 5273 children visited when being less than 7 months of age and followed for approximately six months.

Registration of vaccination status, weight, etc at visit and deaths registered during follow-up.

In SAS: PROC FREQ

```
/* One-way table */  
proc freq data=afrika.bissau;  
    tables dead;  
run;
```

```
/* Two-way table */  
proc freq data=afrika.bissau;  
    tables bcg*dead;  
run;
```

Two-way table: Risk of Dying and BCG.

bcg		dead	
Frequency			
Percent			
Row Pct			
Col Pct	1	2	Total
1	124	3176	3300
	2.35	60.23	62.58
	3.76	96.24	
	56.11	62.87	
2	97	1876	1973
	1.84	35.58	37.42
	4.92	95.08	
	43.89	37.13	
Total	221	5052	5273
	4.19	95.81	100.00

Risk of Dying and BCG - only the information we want

```
proc freq data=afrika.bissau;  
  tables bcg*dead / nocol nopercent;  
run;
```

bcg dead

Frequency				
Row	Pct	1	2	Total
-----+-----+-----+				
1		124	3176	3300
		3.76	96.24	
-----+-----+-----+				
2		97	1876	1973
		4.92	95.08	
-----+-----+-----+				
Total		221	5052	5273

The risk of dying in the two BCG groups: 3.76% with BCG and 4.92% without BCG.

We want to know if these probabilities are significantly different.

Therefore we test the null hypothesis H_0 : the probability of dying is the same in the two groups.

Table

Exposure	Outcome		Total
	Yes	No	
Yes	a	b	n_1
No	c	d	n_2
Total	$a + c$	$b + d$	n

Hypothesis H_0 : the probability of having the outcome is the same in the two exposure groups.

probability of under H_0 is $p = \frac{a+c}{n}$.

Chi-square test

Under H_0 expected numbers in the four cells are:

Exposure	Outcome		Total
	Yes	No	
Yes	$E(a) = p \times n_1$	$E(b) = (1 - p) \times n_1$	n_1
No	$E(c) = p \times n_2$	$E(d) = (1 - p) \times n_2$	n_2
Total	$a + c$	$b + d$	n

Chi-square test for testing H_0 (observed - expected):

$$X^2 = \frac{[a - E(a)]^2}{E(a)} + \frac{[b - E(b)]^2}{E(b)} + \frac{[c - E(c)]^2}{E(c)} + \frac{[d - E(d)]^2}{E(d)}$$

H_0 is rejected if p-value < 0.05 which corresponds to $X^2 > 3.84$.

Risk of Dying and BCG - expected numbers

```
proc freq data=afrika.bissau;
  tables bcg*dead / expected chisq nocol nopercent;
run;
```

Table of bcg by dead

bcg		dead		
Frequency				
Expected				
Row	Pct	1	2	Total
-----+-----+-----+				
1		124	3176	3300
		138.31	3161.7	
		3.76	96.24	
-----+-----+-----+				
2		97	1876	1973
		82.692	1890.3	
		4.92	95.08	
-----+-----+-----+				
Total		221	5052	5273

Risk of Dying and BCG - Chi-square test

Statistics for Table of bcg by dead

Statistic	DF	Value	Prob

Chi-Square	1	4.1291	0.0422
Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square	1	4.0516	0.0441
Continuity Adj. Chi-Square	1	3.8456	0.0499
Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square	1	4.1283	0.0422
Phi Coefficient		-0.0280	
Contingency Coefficient		0.0280	
Cramer's V		-0.0280	

The risk of dying in the two BCG groups: 3.76% and 4.92%.

We see from the Chi-square test that the probability of dying is differs significantly between the groups.

How can we quantify this?

The risk difference $4.92 - 3.76 = 1.16$ is not always a good idea

Risk Ratio

Exposure	Outcome		Total
	Yes	No	
Yes	a	b	n_1
No	c	d	n_2
Total	$a + c$	$b + d$	n

Risk ratio:

$$RR = \frac{\text{probability of outcome among exposed}}{\text{probability of outcome among not-exposed}} = \frac{a/n_1}{c/n_2}.$$

The H_0 corresponds to $RR = 1$.

Odds

Exposure	Outcome		Total
	Yes	No	
Yes	a	b	n_1
No	c	d	n_2

Let $p = a/n_1$ be the probability of outcome among exposed. Odds can then be defined as

$$\text{odds} = \frac{p}{1 - p} = \frac{a/n_1}{1 - a/n_1} = \frac{a/n_1}{b/n_1} = \frac{a}{b}$$

does not contain any other information than the probability. If the probability is higher odds are higher and vice versa.

Odds ratio

Exposure	Outcome		Total
	Yes	No	
Yes	a	b	n_1
No	c	d	n_2
Total	$a + c$	$b + d$	n

Odds ratio:

$$\text{OR} = \frac{\text{odds of outcome among exposed}}{\text{odds of outcome among not-exposed}} = \frac{a/b}{c/d} = \frac{a \times d}{b \times c}$$

The H_0 corresponds to $\text{OR} = 1$.

RR and OR in PROC FREQ

```
proc freq data=afrika.bissau;  
  table bcg*dead / RELRISK nocol nopercent;  
run;
```

Estimates of the Relative Risk (Row1/Row2)

Type of Study	Value	95% Confidence Limits	

Case-Control (Odds Ratio)	0.7551	0.5754	0.9909
Cohort (Col1 Risk)	0.7643	0.5895	0.9910
Cohort (Col2 Risk)	1.0122	1.0000	1.0245

RR and OR in PROC FREQ II

It is important how the two variables in the table statement are coded. If we recode them

```
data hope;
    set afrika.bissau;
    deadny=2-dead;
run;

proc freq data=hope;
    table bcg*deadny / relrisk nocol nopercent;
run;
```

We get something else.

	bcg	deadny	
Frequency			
Row Pct	0	1	Total
-----+-----+-----+			
1	3176	124	3300
	96.24	3.76	
-----+-----+-----+			
2	1876	97	1973
	95.08	4.92	
-----+-----+-----+			
Total	5052	221	5273

Statistics for Table of bcg by deadny
Estimates of the Relative Risk (Row1/Row2)

Type of Study	Value	95% Confidence Limits	

Case-Control (Odds Ratio)	1.3243	1.0092	1.7378
Cohort (Col1 Risk)	1.0122	1.0000	1.0245
Cohort (Col2 Risk)	0.7643	0.5895	0.9910

R x C tables

We can also compare more than two groups

```
proc freq data=afrika.bissau;  
  table ethnic*dead/norow nocol nopercent chisq;  
run;
```

The null hypothesis

H_0 : the risk of dying is the same in the five groups

ethnic	dead		
Frequency	1	2	Total
Balanta	37	788	825
Fula	52	1370	1422
Mandinga	49	1113	1162
Other	23	724	747
Pepel	60	1057	1117
Total	221	5052	5273

Null hypothesis H_0 : the risk of dying is the same in the five groups

Statistics for Table of ethnic by dead

Statistic	DF	Value	Prob

Chi-Square	4	7.3670	0.1177
Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square	4	7.3268	0.1196
Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square	1	1.0857	0.2974
Phi Coefficient		0.0374	
Contingency Coefficient		0.0374	
Cramer's V		0.0374	

PROC FREQ Exercise Using the bissau data:

1. Do DTP-vaccinated children (variable `dtp`) die more often than DTP-unvaccinated children?
2. Calculate the odds ratio (OR) and corresponding 95% confidence interval.
3. The variable `region` indicates the rural region of the children. Is mortality associated with region?

Logistic regression: PROC LOGISTIC

Logistic regression is like a linear regression, but here the outcome is discrete with two levels (yes/no, died/survived, ill/well).

Look again at the 2 x 2 table

Exposure	Outcome		Total
	Yes	No	
Yes	a	b	n_1
No	c	d	n_2

$$\text{odds} = \frac{p}{1-p} = \frac{a/n_1}{1-a/n_1} = \frac{a/n_1}{b/n_1} = \frac{a}{b}$$

Logistic regression for 2 x 2 table

What is modeled in a logistic regression is the natural logarithm of the odds of outcome:

$$\ln(\text{odds}) = \ln \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X,$$

where X is the exposure covariate. We call $\ln(\text{odds})$ the log-odds. Assume that the exposure is coded like

$$X = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{Exposed} \\ 0 & \text{Non-exposed} \end{cases}$$

The log-odds of outcome among exposed ($X = 1$) is

$$\ln \left(\frac{p_1}{1 - p_1} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times 1 = \beta_0 + \beta_1.$$

The log-odds of outcome among non-exposed ($X = 0$) is

$$\ln \left(\frac{p_0}{1 - p_0} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times 0 = \beta_0.$$

The difference in log-odds between exposed and non-exposed is

$$\ln \left(\frac{p_1}{1 - p_1} \right) - \ln \left(\frac{p_0}{1 - p_0} \right) = (\beta_0 + \beta_1) - \beta_0 = \beta_1$$

Using the rule of logarithms

$$\ln(a) - \ln(b) = \ln\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$$

we get

$$\ln\left(\frac{p_1/(1-p_1)}{p_0/(1-p_0)}\right) = \beta_1$$

and this means that the odds ratio between exposed and non-exposed is

$$\text{OR} = \exp(\beta_1).$$

Estimation of the regression coefficients is done using maximum likelihood.

PROC LOGISTIC

```
proc logistic data=afrika.bissau;  
  class bcg / param=ref;  
  model dead(event="1")=bcg;  
run;
```

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-2.9621	0.1041	809.3011	<.0001
bcg	1	-0.2810	0.1386	4.1074	0.0427

Odds Ratio Estimates

Effect	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits
bcg 1 vs 2	0.755	0.575 0.991

REMEMBER the option param=ref

Logistic regression

For the case of a 2 x 2 table the logistic regression model is just a more complicated way of getting the OR with a general way of writing the model

$$\ln(\text{odds}) = \ln \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X,$$

the exposure covariate X was coded

$$X = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{Exposed} \\ 0 & \text{Non-exposed} \end{cases}$$

this general framework also works for continuous X (e.g. age).

Multiple logistic regression

$$\ln(\text{odds}) = \ln \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \cdots ,$$

The interpretation is still that $\exp(\beta_1)$ is an odds ratios, but now adjusted for the covariates X_2, X_3, \dots .

Same idea as in multiple linear regression.

The response or outcome is discrete with two categories, but covariates (X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots) do not need to be categorical, they can also be continuous.

In SAS one uses the CLASS statement to indicate categorical variables. Variables in a MODEL statement not listed in the CLASS statement are assumed to be continuous.

Multiple logistic regression: PROC LOGISTIC

```
proc logistic data=afrika.bissau;  
  class bcg / param=ref;  
  model dead(event="1")=bcg agemm;  
run;
```

Type 3 Analysis of Effects

Effect	DF	Wald	
		Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
bcg	1	5.4366	0.0197
agemm	1	1.5307	0.2160

Odds Ratio Estimates

		Point	95% Wald	
Effect		Estimate	Confidence Limits	
bcg	1 vs 2	0.708	0.530	0.946
agemm		1.050	0.972	1.134

Interpretation: For each increase of 1 in agemm the odds increases with 1.050.

Multiple logistic regression: PROC LOGISTIC

The variable `agemm` is now used as a CLASS variable:

```
proc logistic data=afrika.bissau;  
  class bcg agemm / param=ref;  
  model dead(event="1")=bcg agemm;  
run;
```

`agemm` has 7 classes: 0 to 6. SAS automatically generates seven indicator functions for each class and includes six of these in the regression model. The class not included is the reference group (per default SAS uses the highest class).

The test in TYPE 3 for `agemm` is a test for the hypothesis of equal risk of dying in the 7 classes. This test does not change depend on the choice of reference group.

Type 3 Analysis of Effects

Effect	DF	Wald	
		Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
bcg	1	5.2393	0.0221
agemm	6	7.3938	0.2860

Odds Ratio Estimates

Effect		Point	95% Wald	
		Estimate	Confidence Limits	
bcg	1 vs 2	0.710	0.529	0.952
agemm	0 vs 6	1.061	0.524	2.147
agemm	1 vs 6	1.198	0.602	2.384
agemm	2 vs 6	0.825	0.403	1.687
agemm	3 vs 6	1.310	0.658	2.608
agemm	4 vs 6	1.498	0.756	2.971
agemm	5 vs 6	1.436	0.716	2.879

Change of reference group: REF=""

The variable `agemm` is again used as a CLASS variable but now choosing agegroup 4 as reference:

```
proc logistic data=afrika.bissau;  
  class bcg agemm(ref="4") / param=ref;  
  model dead(event="1")=bcg agemm;  
run;
```

		Odds Ratio Estimates		
		Point	95% Wald	
Effect		Estimate	Confidence Limits	
bcg	1 vs 2	0.710	0.529	0.952
agemm	0 vs 4	0.708	0.435	1.150
agemm	1 vs 4	0.799	0.502	1.271
agemm	2 vs 4	0.551	0.332	0.912
agemm	3 vs 4	0.874	0.548	1.395
agemm	5 vs 4	0.958	0.594	1.546
agemm	6 vs 4	0.667	0.337	1.323

Exercise: PROC LOGISTIC

Using the Bissau data:

1. Make a logistic regression where outcome is `dead` and exposure is `dtp`. Interpret the results and compare with the results from the exercise using `proc freq`.
2. Now control for `bcg` in the logistic regression from 1 above. What happened with the odds ratio for `dtp`?
3. Add variables `agemm` and `region` to the model as class variables. Let `region=7` be the reference group for variable `region`. Did inclusion of these variables change interpretation of effect `dtp`?