

Use of SAS
March, 2010


3. t-test and One-way ANOVA

Comparing two samples

Two groups,

x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n_1}

x_{21}, \dots, x_{2n_2}



$N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$

$N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$


(\bar{x}_1, s_1^2)

(\bar{x}_2, s_2^2)

Significant difference between \bar{x}_1 and \bar{x}_2 ?

Null hypothesis $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$

Two-sample t -test



$$\text{SEM} = s/\sqrt{n}$$

Standard error of mean

$$\text{SEDM} = \sqrt{\text{SEM}_1^2 + \text{SEM}_2^2}$$


Standard error of difference of means

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1}{\text{SEDM}}$$

test-statistic t measures disagreement between data and H_0

The p -value

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1}{\text{SEDM}}$$



t : measures disagreement between data and H_0

If H_0 is true: distribution of t is symmetric around 0

p : the prob. of having observed a more extreme t -value

if $p < 5\%$: H_0 is rejected

Two tests: same or different variances?

Assume $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$ before testing $\mu_1 = \mu_2$?

Same variance:

- Natural under null hypothesis (same distributions)
- Nice theory.

Separate variances:

- Looks specifically for difference in means
- Approximative theory.


Test for same variance

Test statistic

$$F = s_1^2 / s_2^2$$

F-distribution with (f_1, f_2) degrees of freedom

$$f_1 = n_1 - 1 \quad f_2 = n_2 - 1$$



Note: 2-sided test

t-test in SAS

Example from Anders Juul

IDEA: Compare men and women with respect to $\sqrt{\text{SIGF1}}$ for 20–30 year olds.

1. Open dataset: SET statement;
2. Compute $\text{SSIGF1} = \text{SQRT}(\text{SIGF1})$
3. Start PROC TTEST
4. Use WHERE to select subgroup
5. Specify dependent variable
6. — and classification
7. Do not forget RUN

Code for the *t*-test

```
data juul;  
    set sasuser.juul;  
    ssigf1=sqrt(sigf1);  
run;  
proc ttest data=juul;  
    where age > 20 and age < 30;  
    var ssigf1;  
    class sexnr;  
run;
```


Output

The TTEST Procedure

Statistics

Variable	sexnr	Lower CL		Upper CL		Lower CL		Upper CL	
		N	Mean	Mean	Mean	Std Dev	Std Dev	Std Dev	Std Err
ssigf1	1	23	15.789	16.517	17.245	1.3021	1.6836	2.3829	0.3511
ssigf1	2	18	15.798	16.824	17.85	1.5481	2.063	3.0928	0.4863
ssigf1	Diff (1-2)		-1.49	-0.307	0.8763	1.5225	1.8586	2.3864	0.5849

T-Tests

Variable	Method	Variances	DF	t Value	Pr > t
ssigf1	Pooled	Equal	39	-0.52	0.6030
ssigf1	Satterthwaite	Unequal	32.5	-0.51	0.6125

Equality of Variances

Variable	Method	Num DF	Den DF	F Value	Pr > F
ssigf1	Folded F	17	22	1.50	0.3666

Exercise: T-test

Consider again the Juul data with variables

- Age (years)
- Height (cm)
- Menarche (No/Yes: 1/2)
- Sex (M/F: 1/2)
- Serum IGF1, growth hormone ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)
- Tanner stage (1–5)
- Testis volume (ml)
- Weight (kg)

Here the main aim is to compare the IGF1-level in boys and girls *above the age of 5 years*.

1. For each Tanner-stage, test if the IGF1-level is the same in boys and girls. The distribution of IGF1 seems to be skew, but $\sqrt{SIGF1}$ can be assumed to follow a normal distribution.

One-way ANOVA

Comparing more than two groups

$$\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_k \quad s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k$$

Joint test for any differences between the groups.

Why not just pairwise t-tests?

MASS SIGNIFICANCE
LOSS OF OVERVIEW

The fewer tests, the better.

Notation and Models

x_{ij} observation no. j in group no. i
(i.e., x_{35} the 5th observation in group 3)



The model

$$X_{ij} = \mu_i + \epsilon_{ij} \quad \epsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

The hypothesis of no differences between groups

$$H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$$

Variation within and between groups



Main idea:

If the variation between group means is large compared to the variation within groups, it is a sign that the hypothesis is wrong.

Sums of squares

Variation (**W**ithin) groups: $\text{SSD}_W = \sum_i \sum_j (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i)^2$

\bar{x}_i mean for group i


Variation (**B**etween) groups: $\text{SSD}_B = \sum_i \sum_j (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x}_.)^2$

$\bar{x}_.$ total (grand) mean

Can be mathematically proven that

$$\text{SSD}_B + \text{SSD}_W = \text{SSD}_{\text{total}} = \sum_i \sum_j (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_.)^2$$

The model (grouping) *explains* part of the variation




F-test for identical group means

We reject the hypothesis if the variation between groups is large compared to the variation within groups. Consider


$$F = [\text{SSD}_B / (k - 1)] / [\text{SSD}_W / (N - k)]$$

If group differences are coincidental then F follows an F -distribution:



If F too large: Reject the hypothesis that the groups are identical.


Testing for identical group means



high variation within grp.

F is small

H_0 is *not* rejected



small variation within grp.

F is large

H_0 is rejected

One-way ANOVA in SAS

IDEA: Compare boys in different Tanner stage with respect to their $\sqrt{\text{SIGF1}}$

1. This time generate a new data set, `juulboys`
2. Select: `SEXNR = 1, AGE < 20`
3. Use GLM
4. MODEL statement: What is described by what?
5. Remember to say that `tanner` is a grouping (CLASS)

Code for ANOVA

```
data juulboys;  
    set sasuser.juul;  
    ssigf1 = sqrt(sigf1);  
    if sexnr = 1 and 0 < age < 20;  
run;  
proc glm data=juulboys;  
    class tanner;  
    model ssigf1 = tanner / solution;  
run;
```

(PROC ANOVA can also be used)

Output

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
tanner	5	1 2 3 4 5

Number of observations 546

NOTE: Due to missing values, only 400 observations can be used in this analysis.

The GLM Procedure

Dependent Variable: ssigf1

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
Model	4	6054.50950	1513.62738	147.14	<.0001
Error	395	4063.35801	10.28698		
Corrected Total	399	10117.86751			

R-Square	Coeff Var	Root MSE	ssigf1 Mean
0.598398	18.35978	3.207333	17.46934

Source	DF	Type I SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
tanner	4	6054.509502	1513.627376	147.14	<.0001

Source	DF	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
tanner	4	6054.509502	1513.627376	147.14	<.0001


Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
Intercept	21.49657843 B	0.29908531	71.87	<.0001
tanner 1	-7.93544551 B	0.37819314	-20.98	<.0001
tanner 2	-3.24333496 B	0.60013505	-5.40	<.0001
tanner 3	-0.19150204 B	0.73260639	-0.26	0.7939
tanner 4	1.26129188 B	0.64103059	1.97	0.0498
tanner 5	0.00000000 B	.	.	.

Nonparametric tests

Mann-Whitney test (alias Wilcoxon)

Kruskal-Wallis test

Idea: “t-test” or “ANOVA” on *ranks*



Distribution is (in principle) known under null hypothesis. Does not depend on data following a Normal distribution.

Other “scores” than ranks can also be used

Nonparametric tests in SAS

- ```
proc npar1way wilcoxon data=sasuser.juul;
 where sexnr = 1 and 0 < age < 20;
 var sigf1;
 class tanner;
run;
```
- (Mann-Whitney is obtained if there are only two groups to compare)
- It is the `wilcoxon` option that select rank scores and thus the Kruskal-Wallis/Mann-Whitney test, see manual for alternatives.

# Output

## The NPAR1WAY Procedure

Wilcoxon Scores (Rank Sums) for Variable sigf1  
Classified by Variable tanner

| tanner | N   | Sum of<br>Scores | Expected<br>Under H0 | Std Dev<br>Under H0 | Mean<br>Score |
|--------|-----|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| 1      | 192 | 20758.00         | 38496.00             | 1155.20917          | 108.114583    |
| 2      | 38  | 8222.00          | 7619.00              | 677.99178           | 216.368421    |
| 3      | 23  | 6569.50          | 4611.50              | 538.28582           | 285.630435    |
| 4      | 32  | 10387.00         | 6416.00              | 627.30283           | 324.593750    |
| 5      | 115 | 34263.50         | 23057.50             | 1046.52522          | 297.943478    |

Average scores were used for ties.

## Kruskal-Wallis Test

|                 |          |
|-----------------|----------|
| Chi-Square      | 254.3465 |
| DF              | 4        |
| Pr > Chi-Square | <.0001   |